

Uninformed Vs Informed Search

Uninformed search: Use only the information available in the problem definition. Example: breadth-first, depth-first, depth limited, iterative deepening, uniform cost and bidirectional search

Informed search: Use domain knowledge or heuristic to choose the best move. Example. Greedy best-first, A*, IDA*, and beam search

Uninformed Search

• Application1:

Given the following state space (tree search), give the sequence of visited nodes when using BFS (assume that the node*O* is the goal state):



А,

B C D E

A,B,



- A,
- B,C



- A,
- B,C,D



- A,
- B,C,D,E



- A,
- **B,C,D,E**,
- **F**,



- A,
- **B,C,D,E**,
- F,G



- A,
- **B,C,D,E**,
- F,G,H



- A,
- **B,C,D,E**,
- F,G,H,I



- A,
- **B,C,D,E**,
- F,G,H,I,J,



A,

- **B,C,D,E**,
- F,G,H,I,J,



A,

- **B,C,D,E**,
- F,G,H,I,J,



A,

- **B,C,D,E**,
- F,G,H,I,J,



• A,

- **B,C,D,E**,
- F,G,H,I,J,



Α, B,C,D,E, F,G,H,I,J, K,L, M,N, А Goal state: **O** E В H J G

• The returned solution is the sequence of operators in the path: *A*, *B*, *G*, *L*, *O*



Depth First Search (DFS)

Application2:

Given the following state space (tree search), give the sequence of visited nodes when using DFS (assume that the node *O* is the goal state):



А,

B C D E

■ A,B,



• A,B,F,



■ A,B,F,

G,



- A,B,F,
- G,K,



- A,B,F,
- **G**,K,
- **L**,



- A,B,F,
- **G**,K,
- L, O: Goal State



The returned solution is the sequence of operators in the path: A, B, G, L, O



Application3:

Given the following state space (tree search), give the sequence of visited nodes when using DLS (Limit = 2):



B C D E

Limit = 2

А,

■ A,B,



• A,B,F,



- A,B,F,
- **G**,





- A,B,F,
- **G**,
- **C,H**,












- DLS algorithm returns Failure (no solution)
- The reason is that the goal is beyond the limit (Limit =2): the goal depth is (d=4)



• Application4:

Given the following state space (tree search), give the sequence of visited nodes when using IDS:



DLS with bound = 0

A,



• A, Failure



DLS with bound = 1

Limit = B C D E

А,

■ A,B,



C, Limit = B C D E

A,B,





A,B, C, D, E, Failure Limit = B C D E

A,



■ A,B,



• A,B,F,



- A,B,F,
- **G**,





- A,B,F,
- **G**,
- C,H,











DLS with bound = 3

A,



■ A,B,



• A,B,F,



- A,B,F,
- **G**,



- A,B,F,
- **G**,K,



- A,B,F,
- **G**,K,
- **L**,




A,B,F, G,K, L, C,H, А В H Limit = K 3















DLS with bound = 4

• A,



■ A,B,



• A,B,F,



- A,B,F,
- **G**,



- A,B,F,
- **G**,K,



- A,B,F,
- G,K,
- L,

4



- A,B,F,
- G,K,

4

L, O: Goal State



The returned solution is the sequence of operators in the path: *A*, *B*, *G*, *L*, *O*



Main idea: Uniform-cost Search: Expand node with smallest path cost g(n).

- Implementation:

Enqueue nodes in order of cost g(n). QUEUING-FN:- insert in order of increasing path cost. Enqueue new node at the appropriate position in the queue so that we dequeue the cheapest node.

- Complete? Yes.
- Optimal? Yes, if path cost is nondecreasing function of depth
- Time Complexity: O(b^d)
- Space Complexity: O(b^d), note that every node in the fringe keep in the queue.















Bidirectional Search

- Idea
 - simultaneously search forward from S and backwards
 from G
 - stop when both "meet in the middle"
 - need to keep track of the intersection of 2 open sets of nodes
- What does searching backwards from G mean
 - need a way to specify the predecessors of G
 - this can be difficult,
 - e.g., predecessors of checkmate in chess?
 - what if there are multiple goal states?
 - what if there is only a goal test, no explicit list?

What Criteria are used to Compare different search techniques ?

As we are going to consider different techniques to search the problem space, we need to consider what criteria we will use to compare them.

- **Completeness**: Is the technique guaranteed to find an answer (if there is one).
- Optimality/Admissibility : does it always find a least-cost solution?
 an admissible algorithm will find a solution with minimum cost
- **Time Complexity**: How long does it take to find a solution.
- **Space Complexity**: How much memory does it take to find a solution.

Time and Space Complexity ?

Time and space complexity are measured in terms of:

- The average number of new nodes we create when expanding a new node is the (effective) branching factor **b**.
- The (maximum) branching factor **b** is defined as the maximum nodes created when a new node is expanded.
- The length of a path to a goal is the depth **d**.
- The maximum length of any path in the state space **m**.

Properties of breadth-first search

- <u>Complete?</u> Yes it always reaches goal (if *b* is finite)
- <u>Time?</u> $1+b+b^2+b^3+\ldots+b^d+(b^{d+1}-b)$ = O(b^{d+1}) (this is the number of nodes we generate)
- <u>Space?</u> $O(b^{d+1})$ (keeps every node in memory, either in fringe or on a path to fringe).
- <u>Optimal?</u> Yes (if we guarantee that deeper solutions are less optimal, e.g. step-cost=1).
- Space is the bigger problem (more than time)

Properties of depth-first search

- <u>Complete?</u> No: fails in infinite-depth spaces Can modify to avoid repeated states along path
- <u>Time?</u> $O(b^m)$ with m=maximum depth
- terrible if *m* is much larger than *d*
 - but if solutions are dense, may be much faster than breadth-first
- <u>Space?</u> *O(bm)*, i.e., linear space! (we only need to remember a single path + expanded unexplored nodes)
- <u>Optimal?</u> No (It may find a non-optimal goal first)

Properties of iterative deepening search

- <u>Complete?</u> Yes
- <u>Time?</u> $(d+1)b^0 + d b^1 + (d-1)b^2 + \dots + b^d = O(b^d)$
- <u>Space?</u> *O*(*bd*)
- <u>Optimal?</u> Yes, if step cost = 1 or increasing function of depth.

Uniform-cost search

Implementation: *fringe* = queue ordered by path cost Equivalent to breadth-first if all step costs all equal.

<u>Complete?</u> Yes, if step $cost \ge \varepsilon$ (otherwise it can get stuck in infinite loops)

<u>Time?</u> # of nodes with *path cost* \leq cost of optimal solution.

<u>Space?</u> # of nodes on paths with path cost \leq cost of optimal solution.

Optimal? Yes, for any step cost.

Summary of algorithms

Criterion	Breadth-	Uniform-	Depth-	Depth-	Iterative
	First	Cost	First	Limited	Deepening
Complete?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
Time	$O(b^{d+1})$	$O(b^{\lceil C^*/\epsilon \rceil})$	$O(b^m)$	$O(b^l)$	$O(b^d)$
Space	$O(b^{d+1})$	$O(b^{\lceil C^*/\epsilon \rceil})$	O(bm)	O(bl)	O(bd)
Optimal?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes